

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,037	01/28/2004	Charles W. Finkl	FINKL162CIPD2	4800
- 7 :	590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER	
James G. Staples A. Finkl & Sons Co. 2011 North Southport Avenue Chicago, IL 60614			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- Na		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
	10/767,037	FINKL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott Kastler	1742	···		
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	is		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2 Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	2004 is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	(2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/767,037

Art Unit: 1742

Means-Plus Function Language

Instant claims 1 and 10 contain the following terms, couched in means-plus function format:

- 1. "means for confining the heat from the electric heat source to the body-shank junction portion of the die block" (claim 1, lines 9-10).
- 2. "means for subjecting said selected portion to electrical energy derived from said source of infrared heating" (claim 10, lines 4-5)
- 3. "means for maintaining" (claim 10 lines 6-7)
- 4. "means for controlling" (claim 10 lines 8-9)

However, none of the above "means" are sufficiently described in the originally filed specification to meet the requirements of a proper means plus function claim, and therefore, these terms have been interpreted to allow for any structure which would allow for the performance of the recited function.

Claim Objections

Claims 5, 6, 8 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The above claims do not fairly further limit the independent apparatus claim 1 from which they depend because the above claims contain only limitations dealing with the manner or method in which the claimed apparatus is to be

Art Unit: 1742

employed (the specific placement of the apparatus near or abutting a shank body to be treated or the operating power to be employed). It has been well settled that the manner or method of use of an apparatus cannot be employed to fairly further limit claims to the apparatus itself. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235, and MPEP 2114 and 2115.

Claim 4 is also objected to because of the following informalities: the above claim recites the term "rock-type" without any further description of what materials other than actual rock materials are to be covered by the term "rock-type" thereby rendering the claim potentially indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The above claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

1. With respect to claim 4, this claim includes a Markush group which is improperly open, in that the Markush group is listed employing the term "the group consisting essentially

Art Unit: 1742

of' language, thereby improperly opening the group to other, unnamed components and rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable.

2. With respect to claims 7-9, instant claim 7 recites the term "the infrared heating means", however, there is no antecedent basis for any "infrared heating means" either in this claim or claim 1, from which this claim depends, thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Nishikawa. Nishikawa teaches that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to
employ electric heating from infrared heaters in the form of halogen lamps (including tungsten
halogen lamps) to selectively harden portions of a workpiece while employing means for
confining the heat from the lamps to the portion to be heated (see col. 4, line 50 to col. 7 line5 for
example)thereby showing all properly limiting aspects of the above claims since the use of the
claimed apparatus to specifically heat treat any particular type of workpiece in any particular
type of heat treating process cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit claims to the apparatus
itself when, as in the instant case, the applied prior art apparatus could be employed if desired to
perform the claimed function. See MPEP 2114 and 2115.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,037

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the ASM Handbook, vol. 4 "Induction Heat Treating of Steel" (ASM vol.4). ASM vol. 4 teaches shaped induction heating coils for the selective hardening of workpieces (see fig. 15 on page174 for example) and including insulating non-magnetic "rock-type" materials for surrounding at least partially portions of the coils (see "Coil Insulation on pages 180-181 for example), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims since the use of the claimed apparatus to specifically heat treat any particular type of workpiece in any particular type of heat treating process cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit claims to the apparatus itself when, as in the instant case, the applied prior art apparatus could be employed if desired to perform the claimed function. See MPEP 2114 and 2115.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of Placek, Lihl, Benedyk and Sikka et al are also cited as further examples of prior art induction and infrared heat treating devices for metal treatment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk